DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE Fraud Division

ATTACHMENT A

PLANNING BUDGET LEVEL PROGRAM FOR INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD FOR

San Bernardino County

July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005

Source: Section 1872.83 of the Insurance Code

County estimated caseload expressed in the county's proportion of the \$19,271,700 available to the Insurance Commissioner for the 2004-2005 fiscal year (\$20,351,000 minus \$65,000 incidental cost and 5% reserve of \$1,014,300)

I. FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 BASE ALLOCATION

(50% of total available funds)

| 1. Annual Average Wage and Salary Employees (66%) | \$326,804.12 |
|--|---------------------|
| Proportion of Reported Workers' Compensation Suspected Fraudulent Claims in the past three years (34%) | \$165,830.07 |
| BASE ALLOCATION | <u>\$492,634.19</u> |
| II. PROGRAM AWARD Award will be based on the evaluation of the county plan. (50% of total available funds) | \$492,634.19 |

PLANNING BUDGET

\$985,268.38

FUNDING LEVELS ARE CONTINGENT ON THE COLLECTION OF ASSESSMENTS AND THE AUTHORIZATION FOR EXPENDITURE (PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 13000 et seq)

DELL'ALVINIENT OF HADDINGE . I INCODE DIALORINA

Morkers! Commenced incurrence Energy Local Assistance Drogram, E. Cachers

ming Formula (\$25,351,000 minus \$65,000 incidental cost and 5% Reserve of \$4,375,230)

| | mon gilinas | daming ronnula (\$20,351,000 | mittes woo, ood it | Section of the sectio | | |
|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|----------------|
| County | Employment | 2001-2003 SECs | 66% of 1/2 | 34% of 1/2 | Allocation (50%) | Funding (100%) |
| | February 2004 | OF CO | 6272 614 96 | \$123,745.46 | \$396,360.42 | \$792,720.85 |
| Alameda | 702,300 | 444 | 32/2,014.90 | 17 2553 | \$836.90 | \$1,673.80 |
| Alpine | 720 | 2 | \$279.49 | \$57.41 | C5 CLV \$13 | \$30,945.04 |
| Amador | 14,730 | 35 | \$5,717.81 | \$9,754.71 | 70.7/#,016 | ¢113 206 02 |
| Butte | 84,200 | 86 | \$32,684.29 | \$23,968.72 | \$50,055.01 | \$17,000.02 |
| Calaveras | 15,310 | 10 | \$5,942.95 | \$2,787.06 | 38,730.01 | 20.001/10 |
| Colusa | 8,810 | 7 | \$3,419.82 | \$1,950.94 | \$5,370.76 | 7C.TE/,0T& |
| Contra Costa | 491,100 | 246 | \$190,632.50 | \$68,561.68 | \$259,194.18 | \$518,388.30 |
| Del Norte | 8,860 | 7 | \$3,439.23 | \$1,950.94 | \$5,390.17 | \$10,780.34 |
| El Dorado | 79,100 | 39 | \$30,704.60 | \$10,869.53 | \$41,574.14 | \$83,148.28 |
| Fresno | 337,000 | 325 | \$130,814.81 | \$90,579.45 | \$221,394.26 | \$442,788.52 |
| Glenn | 8,390 | 9 | \$3,256.78 | \$2,508.35 | \$5,765.14 | \$11,530.28 |
| Humboldt | 56,200 | 23 | \$21,815.41 | \$6,410.24 | \$28,225.65 | \$50,451.29 |
| Imperial | 47,800 | 64 | \$18,554.74 | \$17,837.18 | \$36,391.93 | 5/2,783.83 |
| Inyo | 6,780 | 3 | \$2,631.82 | \$836.12 | \$3,467.94 | \$6,935.88 |
| Kern | 259,300 | 206 | \$100,653.65 | \$57,413.44 | \$158,007.09 | 77.407.07 |
| Kings | 41,660 | 41 | \$16,171.35 | \$11,426.95 | \$27,598.30 | \$55,196.59 |
| Lake | 22,310 | 10 | \$8,660.17 | \$2,787.06 | \$11,447.23 | \$22,894.47 |
| Lassen | 10,560 | 15 | \$4,099.12 | \$4,180.59 | \$8,279.71 | \$16,559.43 |
| Los Angeles | 4,491,300 | 3651 | \$1,743,408.19 | \$1,017,555.60 | \$2,760,963.78 | \$5,521,927.57 |
| Madera | 49,100 | 47 | \$19,059.37 | \$13,099.18 | \$32,158.55 | \$64,317.10 |
| Marin | 125,200 | 51 | \$48,599.45 | \$14,214.01 | \$62,813.45 | \$125,626.91 |
| Mariposa | 5,820 | 7 | \$2,259.18 | \$1,950.94 | \$4,210.12 | \$8,420.24 |
| Mendocino | 40,380 | 31 | \$15,674.49 | \$8,639.89 | \$24,314.37 | \$48,628.75 |
| Merced | 76,000 | 64 | \$29,501.26 | \$17,837.18 | \$47,338.45 | 594,676.89 |
| Modoc | 3,890 | 2 | \$1,510.00 | \$557.41 | \$2,067.41 | \$4,134.82 |
| Mono | 8,490 | 5 | \$3,295.60 | \$1,393.53 | \$4,689.13 | \$9,378.26 |
| Monterey | 168,200 | 128 | \$65,290.95 | \$35,674.37 | \$100,965.32 | \$201,930.64 |
| Napa | 67,400 | 26 | \$26,162.96 | \$7,246.36 | \$33,409.32 | \$66,818.64 |
| Nevada | 45,740 | 23 | \$17,755.10 | \$6,410.24 | \$24,165.34 | \$48,330.68 |
| Orange | 1,535,400 | 930 | \$596,003.15 | \$259,196.58 | \$855,199.72 | \$1,/10,399.45 |
| | | | | | | |

4-5-04

Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Local Assistance Program, F Y 2004-2005 DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE - FRAUD DIVISION

Planning Formula (\$20,351,000 minus \$65,000 mincidental cost and 5% Reserve of \$1,014,300)

| \$19,271,700.00 | \$9,635,850.00 | \$3,276,189.00 | \$6,359,661.00 | 11,755 | 16,383,510 | TOTAL |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| \$24,642.75 | \$12,321.37 | \$5,295.41 | \$7,025.9% | 19 | 18,100 | Yuba |
| \$102,519.64 | \$51,259.82 | \$14,771.42 | \$36,488.4 | 53 | 94,000 | Yolo |
| \$528,377.58 | \$264,188.79 | \$103,678.63 | \$160,510.1.5 | 372 | 413,500 | Ventura |
| \$28,071.09 | \$14,035.54 | \$5,852.83 | \$8,182.7" | 21 | 21,080 | Tuolumne |
| \$180,123.03 | \$90,061.52 | \$34,280.84 | \$55,780.6# | 123 | 143,700 | Tulare |
| \$4,398.78 | \$2,199.39 | \$557.41 | \$1,641.9# | 2 | 4,230 | Trinity |
| \$29,403.32 | \$14,701.66 | \$5,016.71 | \$9,684.9# | 18 | 24,950 | Tehama |
| \$38,157.39 | \$19,078.69 | \$6,967.65 | \$12,111.0W | 25 | 31,200 | Sutter |
| \$278,512.10 | \$139,256.05 | \$65,774.62 | \$73,481.4# | 236 | 189,300 | Stanislaus |
| \$274,325.02 | \$137,162.51 | \$42,642.02 | \$94,520.410 | 153 | 243,500 | Sonoma |
| \$210,298.32 | \$105,149.16 | \$27,591.89 | \$77,557.211 | 99 | 199,800 | Solano |
| \$15,044.05 | \$7,522.03 | \$1,672.24 | \$5,849.77 | .6 | 15,070 | Siskiyou |
| \$877.27 | \$438.64 | \$0.00 | \$438.6 | 0 | 1,130 | Sierra |
| \$109,846.56 | \$54,923.28 | \$26,198.36 | \$28,724.9# | . 94 | 74,000 | Shasta |
| \$141,156.81 | \$70,578.41 | \$22,017.77 | \$48,560.6** | 79 | 125,100 | Santa Cruz |
| \$900,085.04 | \$450,042.52 | \$132,942.76 | \$317,099.7% | 477 | 816,900 | Santa Clara |
| \$234,782.55 | \$117,391.27 | \$39,018.84 | \$78,372.4# | 140 | 201,900 | Santa Barbara |
| \$369,044.15 | \$184,522.07 | \$47,380.02 | \$137,142.0% | 170 | 353,300 | San Mateo |
| \$120,051.16 | \$60,025.58 | \$14,492.71 | \$45,532.81/ | 52 | 117,300 | San Luis Obispo |
| \$305,209.42 | \$152,604.71 | \$56,298.61 | \$96,306.1.) | 202 | 248,100 | San Joaquin |
| \$419,3/1.3/ | \$209,685.69 | \$63,266.26 | \$146,419.4 | 227 | 377,200 | San Francisco |
| \$1,621,9/6.54 | \$810,988.27 | \$253,065.05 | \$557,923.23 | 908 | 1,437,300 | San Diego |
| \$985,268.38 | \$492,634.19 | \$165,830.07 | \$326,804.1.2 | 595 | 841,900 | San Bernardino |
| 320,993.12 | \$13,497.56 | \$3,901.88 | \$9,595.67 | 14 | 24,720 | San Benito |
| \$/69,/3/.33 | \$384,868.67 | \$143,812.29 | \$241,056.311 | 516 | 621,000 | Sacramento |
| \$890,540.00 | \$445,273.33 | \$139,353.00 | \$305,920.3 | 500 | 788,100 | Riverside |
| \$11,120.37 | \$5,560.19 | \$2,229.65 | \$3,330.5 | 8 | 8,580 | Plumas |
| \$166,729.55 | \$83,364.77 | \$30,378.95 | \$52,985.8# | 109 | 136,500 | Placer |
| Funding (100%) | Base Allocation (50%) | 34% of 1/2 | 66% of 1/2 | 2001-2003 SFCs | Employment February 2004 | County |
| | 70 10000 00 01 4 17 | Planning Formula (\$20,351,000 illillus \$65,000 illicideliai eost alla 575 illillus | o IIIIIus pos,ocomii | uia (\$20,351,00 | Planning Form | |

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE - FRAUD DIVISION Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Reported Suspected Fraudulent Claims, 2001, 2002 2003

| County | 2001 SFCs | 2002 SFCs | 2003 SFCs | TOTAL |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Alameda | 116 | 128 | 200 | 444 |
| Alpine | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Amador | 17 | 11 | 7 | 35 |
| Butte | 27 | 23 | 36 | 86 |
| Calaveras | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| Colusa | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| Contra Costa | 77 | 58 | 111 | 246 |
| Del Horte | 1 8 | 1 | | 7 |
| El Dorado | 11 | 17 | 11 | 39 |
| Fresno | 72 | 104 | 149 | 325 |
| Glenn | 6 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| Humboldt | 5 | 9 | 9 | 23 |
| Imperial | 2 | 8 | 54 | 64 |
| Inyo | 1 | . 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Kern | 57 | 68 | 81 | 206 |
| Kings | 10 | 14 | 17 | 41 |
| Lake | 3 | 5 - | 2 | 10 |
| Lassen | 5 | 6 | 4 | 15 |
| Los Angeles | 1,196 | 1,103 | 1,352 | 3,651 |
| Madera | 16 | 11 | 20 | 47 |
| Marin | -15 | 17 | 19 | 51 |
| Mariposa | ···. 1 | 3 . | 3 | 7 |
| Mendocino | | 12 | 13 | 31 |
| Merced | 16 | 18 | 30 | 64 |
| Modoc | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Mono | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| Monterey | 50 | 31 | : 47 | 128 |
| Napa | . 7 | 9 | - 10 | 26 |
| Nevada | . 4 | 7 | 12 | 23 |
| Orange | 272 | 258 | 400 | 930 |
| Placer | 34 | 28 | 47 | 109 |

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE - FRAUD DIVISION Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Reported Suspected Fraudulent Claims, 2001, 2002 2003

| | | | | 1 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| County | 2001 SFCs | 2002 SFCs | 2003 SFCs | TOTAL |
| Plumas | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| Riverside | 138 | 177 | 185 | 500 |
| Sacramento | 150 | 153 | 213 | 516 |
| San Benito | 6 | 5 | 3 | 14 |
| San Bernardino | 167 | 212 | 216 | 595 |
| San Diego | 295 | 293 | 320 | 908 |
| San Francisco | 59 | 68 | 100 | 227 |
| San Joaquin | 59 | 60 | 83 | 202 |
| San Luis Obispo | 16 | 22 | 14 | 52 |
| San Mateo | 40 | 54 | 76 | 170 |
| Santa Barbara | 44 | 40 | 56 | 140 |
| Santa Clara | 157 | 178 | 142 | 477 |
| Santa Cruz | 33 | . 17 | 29 | 79 |
| Shasta | 25 | 30 | 39 | 94 |
| Sierra | 0 | 0 | 0 | · - |
| Siskiyou | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Solano | 30 | 25 | - 44 | 99 |
| Sonoma | 28 | 66 | 59 | 153 |
| Stanilaus | 64 | 65 | 107 | 236 |
| Sutter | 5 | 9 | 11 | 25 |
| Tehama | 8 | 2 | 8 | 18 |
| Trinity | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Tulare | 42 | 43 | 38 | 123 |
| Toulumne | 7 | 3 | 11 | 21 |
| Ventura | 78 | 144 | 150 | 372 |
| Yolo | 16 | 17 | 20 | 53 |
| Yuba | 10 | 7 | -2 | 19 |
| TOTAL | 3,524 | 3,649 | 4,582 | 11,755 |

March 12, 2004

MONTHLY LABOR FORCE DATA FOR COUNTIES FEBRUARY 2004 (Preliminary); 2003 BENCHMARK NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED

| COUNTY R | ANK I | ABOR FORCE | EMPLOYMENT | UNEMPLOYMENT | RATE |
|------------------------------|-------|------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| STATE TOTAL | | 17,519,100 | 16,381,300 | 1,137,800 | 6.5% |
| | 22 | 748,000 | 702,300 | 45,700 | 6.1% |
| | 17 | 760 | 720 | . 40 | 5.7% |
| | 15 | 15,590 | 14,730 | 860 | 5.5% |
| | 32 | 92,200 | 84,200 | 8,000 | 8.7% |
| - + | 31 | 16,710 | 15,310 | 1,400 | 8.4% |
| 41.1 | 58 | 8,810 | 6,390 | -2,420 | . 27.5% |
| | 13 | 518,100 | 491,100 | 27,000 | 5.2% |
| | 34 | 9,730 | 8,860 | 870 | 9.0% |
| | 20 | 84,100 | 79,100 | 5,000 | . 5.9% |
| | 47 | 398,300 | 337,000 | 61,300 | 15.4% |
| | 46 | 9,890 | 8,390 | 1,500 | 15.2% |
| T | 27 | 60,400 | 56,200 | 4,200 | . 7.0% |
| | 55 | 57,800 | 47,800 | 10,000 | 17.3% |
| | 25 | 7,260 | 6,780 | 480 | 6.7% |
| M 44 M 4 1 | 44 | 301,200 | 259,300 | 41,900 | 13.9% |
| KINGS | 51 | 49,720 | 41,660 | 8,060 | 16.2% |
| LAKE | 38 | 25,090 | 22,310 | 2,780 | 11.1% |
| LASSEN | 32 | 11,560 | 10,560 | 1,000 | 8.7% |
| LOS ANGELES | 22 | 4,783,700 | 4,491,300 | 292,400 | 6.1% |
| MADERA | 43 | 56,900 | 49,100 | -7,800 | 13.7% |
| MARIN | 2. | 129,800 | | 4,600 | 3.5% |
| | 37 | 6,500 | 5,820 | 690 | 10.5% |
| MARIPOSA MENDOCINO | 28 | 43,740 | 40,380 | 3,360 | 7.7% |
| | 57 | 92,600 | 76,000 | 16,600 | 18.0% |
| MERCED | 41 | 4,390 | 3,890 | 510 | 11.5% |
| MODOC | 5 | 8,870 | 8,490 | 380 | 4.3% |
| MONTEREY | 47 | 198,800 | 168,200 | 30,600 | 15.4% |
| MONTEREY | 8 . | 70,900 | 67,400 | 3,500 | 4.9% |
| NAPA | 12 | 48,170 | 45,740 | 2,430 | 5.0% |
| NEVADA | 2 | 1,590,900 | 1,535,400 | 55,500 | 3.5% |
| ORANGE | . 8 | 143,500 | 136,500 | 7,000 | 4.9% |
| PLACER | .54 | 10,320 | 8,580 | 1,740 | |
| | 16 | 835,000 | 788,100 | 46,900 | 5.6% |
| RIVERSIDE | 17 | 658,700 | 621,000 | 37,700 | 5.7% |
| SACRAMENTO SAN BENITO | 40 | 27,890 | | 3,170 | 11.4% |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 14. | 889,500 | 841,900 | | 5.4% |
| | 4 | 1,496,000 | 1,437,300 | 58,700 | 3.9% |
| SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO | 19 | 400,300 | 377,200 | 23,100 | 5.8% |
| | 39 | 279,600 | 248,100 | 31,600 | 11.3% |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 1 | 121,200 | | 3,900 | 3.2% |
| SAN LUIS OBISP | 6 | 369,400 | | 16,100 | |
| SAN MATEO | 6 | 211,100 | | | |
| SANTA BARBARA SANTA CLARA | 26 | 876,200 | | | |
| | 36 | 139,600 | | | |
| SANTA CRUZ | 35 | 81,900 | | | |
| SHASTA | 54 | 1,500 | | | |
| SIERRA SISKIYOU | 45 | 17,530 | | | |
| SOLANO | 21 | 212,500 | | | |
| SONOMA | 8 | 256,200 | | | |
| STANISLAUS | 42 | 217,000 | | | |
| O A FIN A DALFOOD | | 22.7000 | 200,000 | . 2.,,,,,, | 12.00 |

| SUTTER | 53 | 37,500 | 31,200 | 6,300 | 16.7% |
|-----------|------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| TEHAMA | 30 | 27,070 | 24,950 | 2,130 | 7.9% |
| TRINITY . | 49 | 5,020 | 4,230 | 790 | 15.8% |
| TULARE | 5.6 | 174,300 | 143,700 | 30,700 | 17.6% |
| TUOLUMNE | 29 | 22,850 | 21,080 | 1,780 | 7.8% |
| . VENTURA | 8 - | 434,800 | 413,500 | 21,300 | 4.9% |
| YOLO | 24 . | 100,300 | 94,000 | 6,300 | 6.2% |
| YUBA | 49 | 21,600 | 18,100 | 3,400 | 15.8% |

Notes:

- Data may not add due to rounding. The unemployment rate is calculated using unrounded data.
- 2) Labor force data for all geographic areas for 1990 to 2004 now reflect the March 2003 annual revision (or benchmark) and Census 2000 population controls at the state level. Therefore, labor force data for periods before January 1990 are not comparable with data for January 1990 and later.

Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division, (916) 262-2162.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE ----FRAUD DIVISION

9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95826 Phone: (916) 854-5760 Fax: (916) 255-4197

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD PROGRAM

REQUEST FOR APPLICATION FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005

APPLICATION FORMS

Pursuant to Insurance Code section 1872.83, the application for funding is a public document and may be subject to disclosure. However, information submitted to the Department of Insurance concerning criminal investigations, whether active or inactive, are considered confidential.

| DEPARTMEN GRANT APPLICA | ATION TRANSMITTAL |
|--|---|
| 한 문문화 변경 점취되고 되었다. | |
| Office of the District Attorney, County of | of, hereby makes applicate tion Insurance Fraud Program pursuant to |
| Section 1872.83 of the Insurance Code. | non histiance Pland Program pursuant to |
| Contact: | |
| Address: | |
| Audi ess. | |
| | |
| | |
| | Telephone: () |
| | |
| (1) Program Title | (2) Grant Period |
| | |
| | (3) Grant Amount |
| | |
| (4) Program Director | (5) Financial Officer |
| | |
| | |
| (6) District Attorney's Signature | |
| | |
| Name: | |
| Title: | |
| County: | |
| | |
| A # # | |
| Address: | |
| Address: | |

GRANT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL FACE PAGE INSTRUCTIONS

Program Title: Enter the complete title of the program.

2. Grant Period: Enter the beginning and ending dates of funding as

specified in the grant application instructions.

Grant Amount: Enter the amount of state funds requested.

4. Program Director: Enter the name, title, mailing address and telephone

number of the individual ultimately responsible for the

program.

5. Financial Officer: Enter the name, title, mailing address and telephone

number of the person who will be responsible for all fiscal

matters relating to the program. This person must be

someone other than the program director.

6. Official Submitting Application: Enter the signature, name, county, address and telephone number of the District Attorney submitting the application. The District Attorney's original signature (not a stamped, photocopied or fax version) must be on at least

one copy of the Grant Application Transmittal.

PROGRAM CONTACT FORM

| 1. | Provide the name, title, address and teleph having day-to-day responsibility for the pr | |
|----|--|---|
| | Name: | |
| | Title: | |
| | Address: | E-mail address: |
| | Telephone Number: () | Fax Number: () |
| 2. | Provide the name, title, address and teleph County Board of Supervisors. | none number of the Chair of the |
| | Name: | |
| | Title: | |
| | Address: | |
| | Telephone Number: () | Fax Number: () |
| 3. | Provide the name, title, address and teleph Attorney's Financial Officer. | hone number for the District |
| | Name: | |
| | Title: | |
| | Address: | E-mail address: |
| | Telephone Number: () | Fax Number: () |
| 4. | Provide the name, title, address and telephoresponsible for the data collection/reportion | none number for the person ng for the applicant agency. |
| | Name: | |
| | Title: | |
| | Address: | E-mail address: |
| | Telephone Number: () | Fax Number: () |

RESOLUTION INSTRUCTIONS

NOTE: The Resolution must include all of the elements contained in the sample.

- Enter the full name of the County Board of Supervisors making the resolution.
- Enter the proposed program. This should be the same as the title of the proposed program on the Grant Application Transmittal.
- Enter the funding source (Automobile- California Insurance Code Section 1872.8, California Code of Regulations Subchapter 9, Article 4, Section 2698.65.
 Workers' Compensation-California Insurance Code Section 1872.83, California Code of Regulations Subchapter 9, Article 3, Section 2698.55).
- Enter the full title of the administrator or executive (e.g. District attorney) who is authorized to submit the application including any extensions or amendments. This person will sign the Grant Award Agreement.
- Enter the full title of the organization that will submit the application.
- Enter the same as item (1).
- Enter the date of the meeting in which the resolution was adopted.
- Enter the votes of the members in the appropriate category.
- Enter the signature of the person signing on behalf of the board.
- Enter the date of certification.
- Enter the typed name and title of the person making the certification.
- Enter the signature of the person attesting that this is a true copy of the resolution.
 This must be a person other than the person who signed on behalf of the board or council (see item 9).
- Enter the date attested.
- Enter the typed name and title of the person attesting.

SAMPLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' RESOLUTION

WHEREAS the 1. (County Board of Supervisors) desires to undertake a certain program designated 2. (program title) to be funded in part from funds made available through 3. (funding source) and administered by the California Department of Insurance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the 4. (designated official by title only) of the 5. (unit of government) is authorized, on its behalf to submit the attached proposal to the California Department of Insurance and is authorized to execute on behalf of the Board of Supervisors the attached Grant Award Agreement including any extensions or amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by this body.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the resolution adopted by the 6. (County Board of Supervisors) in a meeting thereof held on 7. (date) by the following:

Vote 8.

| Ayes: Noes: | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|
| Absent: | Date: 10. | |
| Typed Name and Title: | Date: 10. | |
| ATTEST: Signature: 12. | Date: 13 | |
| Typed Name and Title: | | |

NOTE: The Department of Insurance does not require a Board Resolution for submittal of the application for funding. A Board Resolution, however, is required for a county to receive a distribution of funds.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD INVESTIGATION/PROSECUTION PROGRAMS FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005 GRANTS

Grant Application Forms Checklist and Sequence

The Request for Application MUST include the following:

| | | YES | <u>NO</u> |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1. | Is the Grant Application Transmittal sheet completed and signed by the District Attorney? | | ':: : <u></u> |
| 2. | Is an original or certified copy of the Board Resolution included? If NOT, the cover letter must indicate the submission date. | | |
| | | | |
| 3. | Is the Program Contact Form completed? | | |
| | | | |
| | 선생이는 점점 등 없는 생각이 많아. 그림이 말을 | *** | |
| 4. | Is the Project Budget included? | | |
| | a) Line-item totals are verified? b) Carry-over estimate is included? | | |
| | | | |
| 5. | The County Plan includes: | | |
| | a) County Plan Qualifications | | |
| $\gamma_{\alpha_{i,j}}$ | b) County Plan Problem Statement | | , |
| | c) County Plan Program Strategy | | |
| | d) Staff Qualifications and Rotational Policies | | |
| | e) Organization chart | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | f) Joint Investigative Plan | | |

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE FRAUD DIVISION

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD PROGRAM REQUEST-FOR-APPLICATION

FISCAL YEAR 2004-2005

APPLICATION AND INSTRUCTIONS

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD PROGRAM

REQUEST FOR APPLICATION AND INSTRUCTIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | Page 1 |
|-----------|---|------------------|
| Π. | APPLICATION PROCEDURE. | 1 |
| ш | APPLICATION DEADLINE, SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND INFORMATION SESSION | 2 |
| | A. Deadline B. Submission Requirements C. Information Session | 2 |
| rv. | FUNDING A. Funding Level B. Funding Cycle and Duration C. Fund Availability D. Funding Procedure | 4 |
| V. | PREPARING APPLICATIONS A. General B. Grant Application Transmittal C. Resolution D. Program Contact Information E. Budget 1. General 2. Audits 3. Specific Budget Categories | 5 5 6 6 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT.)

| VI. | COUNTY PLAN | |
|-------|--|-----|
| | A. Qualifications B. Problem Statement | 8 |
| | C. Program Strategy D. Staff Qualifications | |
| | E. Organization Chart | |
| VII. | FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS | 9 |
| VIII. | FRAUD ASSESSMENT COMMISSION REVIEW AND CONSENT | 9 |
| IX. | DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPORTING | 9 |
| | A. Expenditure Report | 9 |
| | B. Financial Audit | 10 |
| | C. Program Report D. Deadlines For Submission | .10 |
| | D. Deadlines For Submission E. Grant Liquidation Period | .11 |
| | F. Fiscal Audit by Department of Insurance | 11 |
| QUA | LIFICATIONS | 13 |
| | MARY OF ARRESTS | |
| SUM | MARY OF CASES IN COURT | 18 |
| SUM | MARY OF CONVICTIONS | .22 |
| FISC | AL YEAR 2004-05 OBJECTIVES | .24 |
| COU | NTY PLAN PROBLEM STATEMENT | 25 |
| | NTY PLAN PROGRAM STRATEGY | |
| BUD | GET CATEGORY INSTRUCTIONS | 29 |
| ATT | CHMENT B | 40 |
| ATT | CHMENT C | 42 |
| ATT | CHMENT D | 44 |

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE FRAUD DIVISION

REQUEST - FOR - APPLICATION

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION

I. INTRODUCTION

Under the direction of the Insurance Commissioner of the State of California, the California Department of Insurance (CDI) administers the grant program and the distribution of funds for enhanced investigation and prosecution of workers' compensation insurance fraud by local district attorneys.

This Request-for-Application (RFA) is issued to all district attorneys in the State of California pursuant to authority granted to the Insurance Commissioner under the provisions of Section 1872.83 of the California Insurance Code. The purpose of this RFA is to inform local district attorneys of the criteria for distribution of Fiscal Year 2004-2005 funds for investigation and prosecution of workers' compensation insurance fraud cases. The application includes processing and reporting requirements. The criteria are stated in the California Code of Regulations, Title 10, Chapter 5, Subchapter 9, Section 2698.50, et seq.

II. APPLICATION PROCEDURE

Recipients of funding for enhanced investigation and prosecution of workers' compensation insurance fraud must submit an application, which includes the County Plan. This RFA package provides instructions for preparation of an application for grant funds. This package is comprised of two parts: the RFA and the grant application forms with corresponding instructions. Forms generated in the local District Attorneys' office are acceptable if they duplicate CDI forms in content.

The application shall offer the County Plan and budget elements as outlined in the form section of this RFA. A Table of Contents is required to facilitate plan review. Two or more counties may coordinate planning and submit plans addressing a multi-county area. However, each individual county plan must identify its portion of the budget.

Each application must identify a local district attorney contact person(s). The data required for the contact person(s) is name, title, business address, phone, and fax number. This individual will perform liaison functions between applicant's agency and CDI. This person should be familiar with the local program and their name must be included on the Grant Application Transmittal form. For the purpose of this RFA, the contact person for CDI is Dennis Findlay, Associate Governmental Program Analyst at (916) 854-5754.

III. APPLICATION DEADLINE, SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS, AND INFORMATION SESSION

A. DEADLINE

THE FINAL DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF ALL APPLICATIONS BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE IS:

DATE:

Thursday, July 1, 2004

TIME:

5:00 p.m.

MAIL OR DELIVER (FAX copies will not be accepted)
THE APPLICATION TO:

California Department of Insurance Fraud Division 9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95826 Attn: Dennis Findlay

Any county which fails to submit an application by the stated deadline will only be considered for funding if funds are available for the current year after the initial funding awards are made. Likewise, funds to reimburse costs for unanticipated or unforeseen workers' compensation fraud investigations or prosecutions may be available during the fiscal year from a reserve account. This account is funded with a 5% reserve of \$1,014,300 of the total funds \$20,351,000 appropriated to the Commissioner for disbursement during the FY 2004-2005.

B. SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Applications shall:

Include all required forms and application components, specifically
the Grant Application Transmittal, Board Resolution (or the date
when the Resolution will be submitted), Budget Pages, County
Plan, Joint Investigative Plan, Organization Chart, and the Program
Contact form.

- Be typed or computer-generated in letter characters no smaller than the equivalent of standard 12 pitch print and page size must not exceed standard 8-1/2 x 11-inch paper.
- 3. Be submitted with an <u>original</u> signature on at least one of the copies of the GRANT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL. Seven (7) copies plus one original assembled separately and individually fastened (stapled, not bound) in the upper left corner and an electronic version of the application (CD or Diskette). Appendices are to be stapled separately from the application. Fax copies will not be accepted.

C. <u>INFORMATION SESSION</u>

An information session on the Request for Application will be held:

Date:

Wednesday, May 12, 2004

Time:

9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

Location:

Department of Insurance

Fraud Division

9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100

Sacramento, CA 95826

Submit any questions regarding the RFA by mail or FAX to (916) 255-4197. To have your questions addressed at the information session, they must be received by May 10, 2004.

IV. FUNDING

A. FUNDING LEVEL

Each district attorney's allocation for planning purposes shall consist of two parts: a base allocation and a program award. Both are made as a result of the evaluation of the County Plan. The base allocation shall be made from fifty percent (50%) of the total funds and allocated according to the "caseload estimate." This estimate serves to gauge the District Attorney's proportional share of the workers' compensation fraud investigation and prosecution caseload:

- Sixty-six percent (66%) of the base allocation funding is allocated
 to the counties in proportion of staffing to the state's annual
 average number of workers engaged in wage and salary
 employment as published in the California Employment
 Development Department's Annual Planning Information report
 for the most recent year.
- Thirty-four percent (34%) of the base allocation funding is determined by the county's portion of the state's workers'

compensation suspected fraudulent claims as reported to the California Department of Insurance pursuant to Sections 1872.4 and 1877.3 of the Insurance Code over the previous three years.

Attachment (A) sets the county's estimated caseload and base allocation for planning purposes. (The Department recognizes that the formula estimate of caseload may not accurately reflect the county's actual workers' compensation fraud problem. Therefore, the County Plan, particularly its "Problem Statement" describing the nature and extent of workers' compensation fraud, will be the basis for Review Panel funding recommendations and the Commissioner's decision.)

B. FUNDING CYCLE AND DURATION

Agencies responding to this application must budget funds for 12 months.

The grant period will begin on July 1, 2004 and end on June 30, 2005.

Any portion of distributed funds not used at the termination of each annual funding cycle shall be returned to the Insurance Fund to be reapportioned for use in the subsequent program year. However, a district attorney, who has undertaken investigations and/or prosecutions that will carry over into the following program year, may carry over the distributed but unused funds. That district attorney must specify and justify in writing to the Commissioner how the funds will be used at the end of the program period. Estimated carryover funds from previous year(s) must also be included as part of the subsequent fiscal year application.

C. FUND AVAILABILITY

The amounts distributed for FY 2004-2005 are also contingent on the collection from insurers. CDI reserves the right to adjust district attorney funding levels pursuant to the FY 2004-2005 State Budget Act. For fiscal year 2004-2005, \$20,351,000 will be available to distribute.

If, during the term of the grant award, the state funds appropriated for the purposes of the grant award are reduced or eliminated by the California Legislature, or, in the event revenues are not collected at the level assessed, CDI may immediately terminate or reduce the grant award by written notice to the grantee. However, no such termination or reduction shall apply to allowable costs already incurred by the grantee to the extent that state funds are available for payment of such costs.

The agreement entered into with CDI is subject to any applicable restrictions, limitations, or conditions enacted by the California Legislature subsequent to execution of this agreement.

D. FUNDING PROCEDURE

Commitment to funding shall be in the form of a Grant Award Agreement and shall require an enabling resolution from the County Board of Supervisors approving and authorizing execution of the agreement. The County Board of Supervisors' resolution must specify the Board's desire to participate in the program and should delegate authority to the District Attorney (or other county official) to execute the Agreement and any modifications thereof. (Refer to Section V, C below for further information).

V. PREPARING APPLICATIONS

A. GENERAL

The forms discussed in this section and in the County Plan section make up the application package to be submitted to CDI for consideration. Instructions for completion of each required form are provided either on the form itself or in the section describing the requirements. Consult Section II, Application Procedure, for information regarding specific requirements of the program.

When a county's program is selected for funding, <u>CDI will forward three</u> (3) copies of the Grant Award Agreement for signature. The official designated in the resolution is to sign the Grant Award Agreement (See Resolution Section V, C below for further information). All three (3) copies must have original signatures and be returned to the Department of Insurance, of which one will be returned with the Commissioner or his designate's signature to the county for its records.

B. GRANT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

The Grant Application Transmittal is the cover page for the application. The official signing the face sheet for the applicant must be the District attorney for the county. The Grant Application Transmittal must also name the contact person who is designated to answer any questions about the proposed program.

C. RESOLUTION

A Resolution from the Board of Supervisors authorizing the applicant to enter into a Grant Award Agreement with CDI is required. An original or a certified copy of the current Board Resolution for the new grant period must be submitted to receive funding for the 2004-2005 fiscal year. If the Resolution cannot be submitted with the application, a letter must be included which indicates when CDI will receive it (no later than December 31, 2004). Grant funds for that particular county will

not be released until CDI receives the Resolution and properly executed Grant Award Agreement.

The Board Resolution must designate the official authorized by title to sign the Grant Award Agreement for the applicant. Additionally, the Resolution must include a statement accepting liability for the local program. A sample Resolution is included in the form section.

D. PROGRAM CONTACT INFORMATION

Applicants are required to complete and include the Program Contact Information form in their application.

E. BUDGET

General

The budget is the basis for management, fiscal review, and audit. Program costs must be directly related to the objectives and activities of the program. The budget must cover the entire grant period. Budget planning levels are detailed on Attachment (A).

Include only those items covered by grant funds. Counties may supplement grant funds with funds from other sources. Information concerning these funds may be used to support the County Plan. However, since all approved line items are subject to audit, applicants should not include in the program budget any funds from these sources.

CDI's policy and instructions for preparing the budget are provided below:

- a. The county is required to maintain source documentation to support claimed expenditures and project accomplishments. In general, source documentation is those records used to validate project activities and achievements as they pertain to the objectives outlined in the Grant Award Agreement.
- b. The county must provide an estimate of carry-over funds that will be available for the 2004-2005 fiscal year signed by the District Attorney's Fiscal Officer. The County Plan should include the amount of carry-over funds and their planned use; fiscal approval of the use of carry-over funds will occur upon submission of the carry-over approval request pursuant to Section 2698.53 of the Regulations.

2. Audits

CDI grant-funded local programs are required to secure a financial audit in accordance with the most recent Government Auditing Standards published in July 1999 and are allowed to budget a portion of the audit costs. Audit costs should be budgeted as Operating Expenses. See Audit Guidelines, Attachment B.

Specific Budget Categories Include:

- Personnel Services Salaries/Employee Benefits
- b. Operating Expense; and,
- Equipment.

VI. COUNTY PLAN

The County Plan is the main body of information about the local program. It describes the need for funding to address investigation and prosecution of insurance fraud demands through appropriate and achievable objectives and activities. Each district attorney's program award shall be based on the evaluation of the County Plan. The County Plan shall be evaluated by a Review Panel which is comprised of two members of the Fraud Assessment Commission, the Chief of the Fraud Division or his or her designee, the Director of the Department of Industrial Relations or his or her designee, and an expert in consumer crime investigation and prosecution who is designated by the Commissioner

The County Plan shall include elements describing the county's qualifications, and the manner in which the District Attorney will use grant funds to investigate and prosecute workers' compensation insurance fraud. The county plan will reflect the Insurance Commissioner's strategic initiatives and the Fraud Assessment Commission's objectives. Forms containing narrative requirements are included in the application forms package.

A. QUALIFICATIONS

The Qualifications Section consists of two forms and an appendix listing case filings. Complete and submit the Qualifications forms, providing updated information according to the instructions in the form section.

Please identify that information related to prosecutions or investigations is confidential, Attachment D

If the county has received a grant award from CDI in prior years, the outcomes reported in this section shall represent activities funded by the grant award. Outcomes achieved through county or other funding sources shall be designated separately.

B. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Describe the nature and extent of the problem in the county. Include its sources and causes, its economic and social impacts, its unique aspects, if any, and what is needed to resolve the problem. Supporting data and evidence or indicators of fraudulent activity related to workers' compensation insurance should be included. These may include data and information derived from self-insured employers, other local law enforcement entities, insurers, the Fraud Division, and/or the Investigations Division of the California Department of Insurance.

C. PROGRAM STRATEGY

This section shall specify how the District Attorney will address the problem defined in the Problem Statement through the use of program funds, steps required, and estimated time frame(s) to achieve program objectives and activities. Specifically, this section should describe the manner in which the District Attorney will develop his or her caseload, the sources for referrals of cases. Further, there should be a description of how the District Attorney will coordinate various sectors involved, including employers, insurers, medical and legal providers, the Fraud Division, self-insured employers, public agencies such as Department of Industrial Relations, Employment Development Department, and local law enforcement agencies.

The District Attorney/Fraud Division Joint Investigative Plan for the use of investigative resources is required and included with the application.

D. <u>STAFF QUALIFICATIONS</u>

Describe the qualifications of the staff assigned to the program. Include staff training planned and received, and any staff rotational policies that may affect the local program.

E. ORGANIZATION CHART

Provide an organization chart outlining the lines of authority within the District Attorney's Office, and the lines of authority within the program. Clearly demonstrate the placement of the program staff and their programmatic responsibility. Titles for individuals on the organization chart should match those in the budget and program staff sections.

If any reorganization or restructuring of the anti-fraud program unit occurs during the grant year, the program must submit a written notification within 30 days to the Local Assistance Unit.

VII. FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the Review Panel's evaluation of each County Plan, the panel will forward funding recommendations to the Commissioner. If the Review Panel finds that a County Plan fails to respond adequately to the required items as specified in the County Plan, or in the proposed budget, the panel may recommend funding at the District Attorney's base allocation level. The panel shall also consider the importance of establishing a program presence in a county to increase community awareness and to deter workers' compensation fraud. However, those applications that fail to meet the specified criteria may not be recommended for funding.

VIII. FRAUD ASSESSMENT COMMISSION REVIEW AND CONSENT

The Commissioner shall forward his decision of disbursement to the Chair of the Fraud Assessment Commission within five business days from the date of funding recommendation by the Review Panel. The Fraud Assessment Commission shall notify the Commissioner within ten business days of the receipt of the Commissioner's decision whether it agrees with the recommended funding distribution. Such notification shall be in writing. In the event the Fraud Assessment Commission disagrees with the Commissioner's decision, the notification shall specify the basis upon which the Fraud Assessment Commission's consent has been withheld.

IX. DISTRICT ATTORNEY REPORTING

Each district attorney receiving annual funds pursuant to Section 1872. 83 of the Insurance Code shall submit an annual report to the Commissioner on the local program and its accomplishments. This report shall be submitted at the close of the regular grant period and within the below-specified deadlines. Failure to submit the annual report shall affect subsequent funding decisions. At a minimum the report must include the following items.

A. EXPENDITURE REPORT WHICH SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

- Personnel salaries and benefits;
- Operations cost breakdown;
- Equipment, and
- Explanation of any significant variances from the District Attorney's approved budget plan.

B. FINANCIAL AUDIT

A financial audit report is to be prepared by an independent auditor, who is a qualified state or local government auditor, or independent public accountant licensed by the State of California, or the County Auditor/Controller. The audit report shall indicate that expenditures were made for the purposes of the program as specified in Section 1872.83 of the Insurance Code Regulations as adopted guidelines in the Request for Application and County Plan.

- The auditor shall use county policies and procedures as the standard for verifying appropriateness of personnel and support costs.
- In the event the program audit is included as part of an organization-wide audit, revenues and expenditures for the local program must be shown separately.
- The Audit Guidelines, Attachment (B), set forth the standards for audit preparation.

C. PROGRAM REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED BI-ANNUALLY AND SHALL INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING:

- Number of investigations initiated related to workers' compensation Insurance fraud, with the number of defendants indicted;
- Number of arrests or civil suits filed related to workers' compensation insurance fraud, with number of defendants indicted;
- Number of prosecutions or civil suits filed related to workers' compensation insurance fraud;
- Number of convictions or civil awards related to workers' compensation insurance fraud, with the number of defendants, number of trials, number of pleas and/or settlements indicated; names of all convicted fraud perpetrators.
- Dollar savings realized as a result of workers' compensation insurance fraud case prosecutions, including fines and penalty assessments ordered and collected, and restitution ordered and collected, with the number of defendants indicted;
- Number of search warrants issued; and
- Summary of activity with respect to pursuing a reduction of workers' compensation fraud in coordination with the following:

- a. Fraud Division
- Insurance companies
- Employers, as defined in Section 3300 of the Labor Code, who are self-insured for workers' compensation and doing business in the state.
- d. Other public agencies such as Department of Industrial Relations, Employment Development Department, etc.

D. DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION:

- Expenditure Reports and Audit Reports must be submitted to the Commissioner through the Local Assistance Unit no later than four (4) months after the close of the program period as specified in Section 2698.59 (d)(1) of the Insurance Code (November 1, 2005). A county may request an extension of time to submit the expenditure and/or audit report in the event an organization-wide audit will delay the submission of either or both of the said reports.
- Bi-annual Program Reports must be submitted to the Fraud Division on or before January 31, 2005 and August 30, 2005.

E. GRANT LIQUIDATION PERIOD

There shall be a grant liquidation period of ninety (90) days following the termination of the program period for costs incurred but not paid. Payment may be made and deducted from the program budget during this period.

F. FISCAL AUDIT BY DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

Pursuant to Section 1872.8(b) and 1874.8(d) of the California Insurance Code the California Department of Insurance (CDI) is required to conduct a fiscal audit at least once every three years on counties that received the Automobile Insurance Fraud and/or the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Funds. Furthermore, Section 2698.59(f) of the California Code of Regulations also allows the Department to perform fiscal audits of the Workers' Compensation Insurance Fraud Funds. To maximize the effectiveness and efficiency of these reviews and to minimize the disruption to the county's operation, the Department will conduct the reviews of the Workers' Compensation Insurance and Automobile Insurance Fraud and/or the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction programs at the same time, and if applicable, any additional funds received pursuant to the above sections.

The CDI's Internal Audits Bureau will perform the reviews. When the county is selected for an audit, the Internal Audits Bureau will contact the office at least two weeks prior to beginning the review. The Internal Audits Bureau will review, but not limit its review to: the program funds' internal accounting and administrative controls, policies and procedures, time keeping and equipment records, and revenues and expenditures for a three-year period. Also, the Internal Audits Bureau may review audit reports, supporting working papers, and the status of corrective actions from any previous audit findings.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD QUALIFICATIONS

1. Describe the District Attorney's experience <u>for the last four fiscal years</u> in investigating and prosecuting workers' compensation insurance. Include any relationships developed or planned with other public or private entities that may be useful to program operations.

QUALIFICATIONS (CONT.)

If the District Attorney has received a grant from CDI prior to this application, list only those achievements made possible by the use of grant funds. Also complete the Summary of Closed and Pending Prosecutions for FY 2003-2004. A page listing program achievements realized with the use of other funds may be included in the Appendix.

| I. | Investigations |
|-----|--|
| • | In FY 2000-01, investigations were initiated and involved an average of |
| 100 | identified suspects per investigation. |
| • | In FY 2001-02, investigations were initiated and involved an average of |
| | identified suspects per investigation. |
| • . | In FY 2002-03, investigations were initiated and involved an average of |
| | identified suspects per investigations. |
| • | From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004,investigations were initiated and involved an |
| | average of identified suspects per investigation. |
| | |
| П. | Search Warrants/Indictments |
| • | In FY 2000-01, warrants/indictments were issued, involving an average of suspects. |
| • | In FY 2001-02, warrants/indictments were issued involving an average of |
| • | In FY 2002-03,warrants/indictments were issued, involving an average of suspects. |
| • | From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004, warrants/indictments were issued involving an average of suspects. |
| *** | |
| ш. | Arrests/Surrenders |
| • | To PV 2000 01 |
| - | In FY 2000-01, arrests and surrenders were made. |
| · | In FY 2001-02, arrests and surrenders were made. |
| • | In FY 2002-03 arrests and surrenders were made. |
| • . | From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004, arrests and surrenders were made. |
| IV. | Convictions |
| • | In FY 2000-01 convictions were obtained involving |
| | In FY 2000-01, convictions were obtained involving defendants. Of these convictions, were obtained by trial verdict, were obtained by plea or settlement |

QUALIFICATIONS (CONT.)

| In FY 2001-02, convictions were obtained involving defendants. Of these |
|--|
| convictions were obtained by trial verdict and were obtained by plea or settleme |
| In FY 2002-03, convictions were obtained involving defendants. Of these |
| convictions were obtained by trial verdict and were obtained by plea or settlemen |
| From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004, convictions were obtained involving |
| defendants. Of these convictions were obtained by trial verdict and were obtained |
| by plea or settlement. |
| |
| V. Fines/Penalty Assessments |
| |
| In FY 2000-01, defendants were ordered to pay \$ in fines and penalty |
| assessments. Of this amount \$ was collected from defendants. |
| In FY 2001-02, defendants were ordered to pay \$ in fines and penalty |
| assessments. Of this amount \$ was collected from defendants. |
| In FY 2002-03, defendants were ordered to pay \$ in fines and penalty |
| assessments. Of this amount, \$ was collected from defendants. |
| From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004, defendants were ordered to pay \$ in |
| fines and penalty assessments. Of this amount \$was collected from |
| defendants |
| |
| VI. Restitutions |
| |
| In FY 2000-01, defendants were ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$ |
| to victims. Of this amount \$ was collected from defendants, benefiting |
| victims. |
| In FY 2001-02, defendants were ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$ |
| to victims. Of this amount \$ was collected from defendants, benefiting |
| victims. |
| In FY 2002-03, defendants were ordered to pay restitution in the amount of \$ |
| to victims. Of this amount \$was collected fromdefendants, benefiting victims. |
| |
| • From July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004, defendants were ordered to pay restitution in the |
| amount of \$ in fines and penalty assessments. Of this amount \$ was collected from defendants, benefiting victims |

QUALIFICATIONS (CONT.)

- List the name of the program's prosecutor(s) and investigator(s). Under the name of each staff:
 - a. List the percentage of their time devoted to the program.
 - How long have the prosecutor(s)/investigator(s) been with the program.
 - c. List all the cases (by suspect name and by case number, when the case was assigned and briefly describe the case) with the name of the prosecutor(s) and investigator(s) who have prosecuted during fiscal year 2003-2004. For each case that was jointly investigated, please list all the names of the investigators and prosecutor(s) who worked the case. Also, please include those cases that were prosecuted without positive result.

| Case Number | Investigator(s) | Prosecutor(s) |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

WORKERS' COMPENSATION INSURANCE FRAUD

SUMMARY OF ARRESTS JULY 1, 2003 - JUNE 15, 2004 (USE ADDITIONAL PAGE, IF NECESSARY)

| Case Number | Referred By | Code Section | Number Arrested | Number Held to Answer | Number Surrender | Fraud Scheme | Potential Loss |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Referred by:CDI (Fraud Division, California Department of Insurance), P (Private Carrier, S.I.U.), S (Self-Insured Employers), (T) Third - Party Administrators, (L) (Local Law Enforcement), or O (Other)

Type of fraud scheme: claimant, premium, fraud mill, capping, legal/medical providers, insider, uninsured employer, others.

CASES IN COURT

FISCAL YEAR 2001-02

| Total Cases in court - Categories and Complexities (From 7-1-01 to 6-30-02) | STAN- DARD | MEDIUM | COMPLEX | VERY COMPLEX | TOTAL CASES | TOTAL DEFEN DANTS | TOTAL CHARGEABLE FRAUD (in dollars) |
|--|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Claimant Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 2. Premium Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 3. Fraud Mill | 1.4.4. | | | | | | |
| 4. Capping | | | | | | | |
| 5. Legal/Medical Provider Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 6. Insider Fraud | | | | | . , . | | |
| 7. Uninsured Employer | | | | | | | |
| 8. Others | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL CASES IN COURT | | | | | | | |

See page 20 for instruction.

FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

| Total Cases in court - Categories and Complexities (From 7-1-02 to 6-30-03) | STAN- DARD | MEDIUM | COMPLEX | VERY COMPLEX | TOTAL CASES | TOTAL DEFEN DANTS | TOTAL CHARGEABLE FRAUD (in dollars) |
|--|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Claimant Fraud | | 1.12 | | | | ., | |
| 2. Premium Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 3. Fraud Mill | | | | • | | | |
| 4. Capping | | | | | | | |
| 5. Legal/Medical Provider Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 6. Insider Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 7. Uninsured Employer | | 7 1 1 | | | - | | ** |
| 8. Others | 1. 4. | : . | | | | · | |
| TOTAL CASES IN COURT | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |

FISCAL YEAR 2003-04

| Total Cases in court - Categories and Complexities (From 7-1-03 to 6-15-04) | STAN- DARD | MEDIUM | COMPLEX | VERY COMPLEX | TOTAL CASES | TOTAL DEFEN DANTS | TOTAL CHARGEABLE FRAUD (in dollars) |
|--|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| 1. Claimant Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 2. Premium Fraud | | | | | | • | |
| 3. Fraud Mill | : . | | | : | | | |
| 4. Capping | | | | | | - | |
| 5. Legal/Medical Provider Fraud | | | | | | · : ·· | |
| 6. Insider Fraud | | | | | | | |
| 7. Uninsured Employer | | | | | | | |
| 8. Others | | | | | | - | |
| TOTAL CASES IN COURT | | | | | | | |

*** CASE CATEGORIES

Standard Case:

- 1. One defendant
- 2. Loss under \$10,000
- 3. One employer victim

Loss=Amount of chargeable fraud

Medium Case:

1. Loss from \$10,000 up to\$49,999.

Complex Case:

Loss from \$50,000 up to \$250,000

Very Complex Case:

Loss greater than \$250,000.

The above stated loss amounts are only guidelines for each categories.

Notwithstanding the guidelines, a case shall be elevated from one category to any other higher category if the necessary number of aggravating factors as stated below exist:

A Standard case + at least 2 Aggravating factors = A Medium case A Medium case + at least 2 Aggravating factors = A Complex case A Complex case + at least 2 Aggravating factors = A Very Complex case

e.g. A Standard case with at least 6 Aggravating factors becomes a Very Complex case.

AGGRAVATING FACTORS:

- Multiple Defendants or Suspects
- 2. Multiple claims by a single defendant or suspect
- More than 2,000 pages of reviewable material.
- More than 20 witnesses (excluding non-suspect medical providers).
- 5. More than 6 no-suspect medical providers or other experts.
- A case involving a suspect legal provider(s) or a suspect medical provider(s).
- 7. More than 2 insurance carriers/self-insured involved.
- Search warrant(s) involving 2 or more search locations
- Special Master warrant involved.
- Search warrant which requires assistance of an expert in its execution: e.g. computer expert, auditor, etc... This does not refer to the typical expertise of the searching police officer(s).
- 11. More than 2 public agencies (excluding D.A.) involved.
- 12. Undercover operation by law enforcement
- Grand Jury Proceedings.
- One or more Motions (other than a P.C. 995 motion) requiring a filed response.
- 15. More than 2 Court hearings (excluding preliminary hearings).

Chargeable fraud: is the total amount of fraud that would result from all the counts that (would) are actually charged.

CONVICTION INFORMATION FISCAL YEAR 2001-02

| | CASE# | SUBJECT NAME | ROLE* | SENTENCE | RESTITU- TION/LOSS | CIVIL FINE | CRIMINAL FINE | VICTIM DDA NAME |
|-----|-------|-----------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | | | | | 19 | | | |
| . 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | 4 | | | 7 m 1 1 1 | | | |
| - 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | · . | 1 | - ' ' - ' - ' |
| 6 | | | | | : | | | : . |
| 7 | 1 | | · | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | * | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | · . | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | - : : | | : | | | | |

^{*}Role: Claimant, Fraud Ring, Premium Fraud, Legal/Medical Provider, Insider, Uninsured Employer, Others

FISCAL YEAR 2002-03

| | CASE# | SUBJECT NAME | ROLE* | SENTENCE | RESTITU- TION/LOSS | CIVIL FINE | CRIMINAL FINE | VICTIM | DDA NAME |
|----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | #1. A. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | · . | | | | | 1 N 1 | | | |
| 3 | | | | . The property of the second | | | :' | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | · · · | | |
| 5 | | | | | 1 - 1 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 - | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| o ₁ | | i j . r | | | | i I | | | |
| 9 | | | - 1. | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | |

^{*}Role: Claimant, Fraud Ring, Premium Fraud, Legal/Medical Provider, Insider, Uninsured Employer, Others

FISCAL YEAR 2003-04 (from July 1, 2003 to June 15, 2004)

| -1.00 | CASE# | SUBJECT NAME | ROLE* | SENTENCE | RESTITU- TION/LOSS | CIVIL FINE | CRIMINAL FINE | VICTIM DDA NAME |
|-------|---------------|-----------------|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 1 10 | | : | | | | | |
| 2: | | | · . | | | | | |
| 3 | - 14" - 1 - 1 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | · · | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | 71.75 | | | | | 11 |
| 7 | . , | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 111 | | | | | | | |
| 9. | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | . : | 1. | | | |

*Role: Claimant, Fraud Ring, Premium Fraud, Legal/Medical Provider, Insider, Uninsured Employer, Others

| 아 그렇게 그리다는 하는 일이 일까지 않았다. 그는 사람이다. |
|--|
| FISCAL YEAR 2004-05 OBJECTIVES |
| |
| new investigations will be initiated during FY 2004-05. Describe the activities that will be implemented to achieve this objective. |
| |
| 2 new prosecutions will be initiated during FY 2004-05. Describe the activities that will be implemented to achieve this objective. |
| |
| |
| investigations will be carried over from the previous grant period. |
| |
| 4 prosecutions will be carried over from the previous grant period. |

COUNTY PLAN PROBLEM STATEMENT

Question 1

- a. Please document and describe the types of workers' compensation insurance fraud (claimant, medical/legal provider, premium/employer fraud, insider fraud, insurer fraud) relative to the extent of the problem specific to your county.
- Estimate the magnitude of the workers' compensation insurance fraud problems and identify the type of fraud indicators in your county.

Question 2

The Insurance Commissioner and the Fraud Assessment Commission have stated that the Fraud Division and district attorneys should focus their investigations and prosecutions on those cases which reduce cost drivers such as, legal/medical providers and premium fraud cases. Please describe the county's efforts and strategies in combating legal/medical providers and/or premium fraud?

Question 3

Identify the county's performance objectives that the county would consider attainable and would have a significant impact in reducing workers' compensation insurance fraud.

Question 4

What are the long-term goals of the county in the battle against workers' compensation insurance fraud for the next three years?

COUNTY PLAN PROGRAM STRATEGY

Describe the manner in which the District Attorney will address the problem
defined in the Problem Statement.

 Please elaborate on the District Attorney's plan for outreach to the public and private sectors.

PROGRAM STRATEGY (CONT.)

- 4. As part of the overall management plan, describe how the District Attorney will achieve the objectives of the anti-fraud program. Describe the hiring plan, activity plan, and time line schedule for the program. Discuss the internal quality control procedures that are in place or will be employed to assure objective achievement. Discuss the budget monitoring procedures that are in place or will be employed.
- 5. A "Joint Investigative Plan" must be properly developed and agreed upon by the head of the Workers' Compensation Insurance Program of the District Attorney's Office and the Fraud Division to create the framework for effective communication and resource management in the investigation and prosecution of insurance fraud. See Attachment C, Guidelines for Preparing a Joint Investigative Plan.

(A Joint Investigative Plan must be submitted in this application. County district attorney and the Fraud Division are required to develop and to follow the plan.)

6. What other anti-fraud programs, units, or taskforces such as underground economy or premium fraud are maintained within the District Attorneys' office. How will this program be integrated with them?

COUNTY PLAN PROGRAM STRATEGY (CONT.)

| <i>!</i> - : | Desc | ribe what kind of training has been received and planned for, |
|--------------|------------------|---|
| | a) | by the county staff on workers' compensation insurance fraud; |
| | b) | the local Special Investigative Units to enhance the investigation and prosecution of workers' compensation insurance fraud; and |
| | c) | the coordination with the Fraud Division, insurers, or other entities. |
| | | |
| 8. | Desc | ribe staff rotational policies that affect the program. |
| | | |
| | | 사이트 경험 보는 사람들은 보고 보고 있는데 하고 있다. 그 보고 있는데 말했다. 이 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 가장하는 것이 되었다. 결정하는 것 같다. |
| 9. | and f | ribe the county's efforts and the District Attorney's plan to obtain restitution ines imposed by the court to the Workers' Compensation Fraud Account a egislative intent specifies. |
| | | |
| 10. | Insura willfu | district attorneys have been authorized to utilize Workers' Compensation unce Fraud funds for the investigation and prosecution of an employer's I failure to secure payment of workers' compensation as of January 2003. ibe the county's efforts to address the "uninsured" employers' problem. |

BUDGET CATEGORY INSTRUCTIONS

General

The proposed budget forms the basis for program management and audit, it must be presented in line-item detail and cover the twelve-month period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. <u>Budget planning levels are detailed on Attachment A</u>. The budget may include a line item for an independent audit to be completed at the end of the program period (see Operating Expenses).

The applicant is required to develop a budget that will enable them to meet the requirements of the grant, ensure the successful implementation of the project and be cost effective. Applicants are instructed to prepare a realistic and economical budget. The following information is provided to assist in the preparation of the budget. Where the applicant does not budget for a required item, the California Department of Insurance (CDI) will assume the applicant will use its own funds.

Program funds must be used to support enhanced investigation and prosecution of insurance fraud and shall not be used to supplant funds that, in the absence of program funds, would be made available for any portion of the local insurance fraud program.

Budget modifications are allowable so long as they do not change the grant award amount. Budget modifications across budget categories, i.e., personal services, operations, and equipment require CDI approval. Budget modification requests shall be in writing before it can be approved.

Specific Budget Requirements

Instructions regarding the specific items to be budgeted in each budget category are provided with each budget page as follows. Line item detail, calculation methods, and justification are required to be submitted with the application. Expenditure documentation must be kept on file and made available to CDI staff during a site visit and to auditors at the time of the required annual audit.

Allowable Budget Items

Allowable costs are those costs incurred in direct support of local program activities, including program related travel, equipment costs proportional to their program-related use, facilities cost, expert witness fees, and audits.

| | Real property purchases and improvements. |
|------------|---|
| | Aircraft or motor vehicle, except the purchase of a motor vehicle that is specifically requested and justified to the Commissioner. |
| | Interest payments. |
| | Food and beverages, except as purchased in connection with program-related travel. |
| 0 , | Weapons or ammunition unless included as part of a benefit package. |

A. PERSONNEL SERVICES - SALARIES/EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

Personnel Services

Non-Allowable Budget Items

Personnel services include all services performed by staffs who are directly employed by the applicant. All other staffs are to be shown as consultants in the Operating Expense category supported by a memorandum of understanding, contract, or operational agreement. Such documentation must be kept on file by the grantee and made available for review during a monitoring visit or an audit. In either case, they may be salaried, or hourly, full, or part-time positions.

Benefits

Employer benefits must be identified by type and percentage of salaries. Applicants may use fixed percentage of salary to calculate benefits. Budgeted benefits cannot exceed those already established by the applicant.

Expenses for social security, employee life and health insurance plans, unemployment insurance and/or pension plans are allowable budget items. Other benefits such as uniforms or California Bar Association dues, if negotiated as a part of the employee benefit package, are allowable budget items.

Instructions

Salaries

A line item is required for each different position/classification, not for each individual employee (e.g., three half-time clerical personnel are itemized as 1-1/2 clerical positions).

☐ Employee Benefits

Generally, provide one level of line-item detail unless further explanation is needed. A line item is required for each different position/class, but not for each individual employee unless the benefits vary from person to person in a position/class.

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

| BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE-ITEM DETAIL | cost |
|---|--|
| A. Personal Services - Salaries/Employee Benefits | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| (2) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - | |
| [1] 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| TOTAL | re passible i y Maller Melas Albarda Peli bes |

B. OPERATING EXPENSES

Allowable operating expenses are defined as necessary expenditures exclusive of personnel salaries, benefits, and equipment. Rented or leased equipment must be budgeted as an Operating Expense. Confidential fund expenditures and computer equipment rentals are allowable budget items (see below Confidential Funds Expenditures). Travel, consultant services, facility rental, rented or leased equipment, confidential funds expenditures, indirect costs/administrative overhead, and audits are operation expenses.

Travel

Budget for all anticipated travel related to the program is based on the travel policy established by the county. If a county does not have a travel policy, the state mileage rate can be used which is a maximum of \$.34 per mile unless a higher rate is justified. When program employees are authorized by program department heads or designees to operate a privately owned vehicle on program related business and no local travel policy exists, the employee will be allowed to claim \$.34 per mile without certification.

Facility Rental

Up to \$18 per square foot annually (\$1.48 per square foot per month) with maintenance is allowable for facility rental. If the rental costs for office space exceed these rates, it must be consistent with the prevailing rate in the local area.

Rented or Leased Equipment

If equipment is to be rented or leased, an explanation and cost analysis will be required if the application is selected for funding.

Confidential Fund Expenditures

Confidential fund expenditures are costs that will be incurred by grant funded personnel working in an undercover or other investigative capacity. It may include the purchase of information, physical evidence, or services.

Indirect Costs/Administrative Overhead

Indirect costs are those not readily itemized or assignable to a particular program, but necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the program. These costs shall be determined in accordance with Federal OMB Circular A-87. The costs of operating and maintaining facilities, accounting services, and administrative salaries are examples of indirect costs.

Flat rates not exceeding ten percent of personnel salaries (excluding benefits and overtime) or five percent of total direct program costs (excluding equipment) may be budgeted by applicants for indirect costs.

Applicants must have on file an indirect cost allocation plan, which demonstrates how the rate was established. This plan must clearly indicate that line items charged to a direct cost category (i.e., postage) are not included in the indirect cost category. All costs included in the plan must be supported by formal accounting records that substantiate the propriety of eventual charges.

Audits

The budgets may include a line item for the cost of obtaining an independent financial audit. The financial audit is to be prepared by an independent auditor who is a qualified state or local government auditor or independent public accountant licensed by the State of California or the County Auditor/Controller. The audit shall indicate that local expenditures were made for the purposes of the program as specified in Section 1872.83 of the Insurance Code Regulations as adopted guidelines in the Request for Application and County Plan.

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

and Angles and the Committee of the Comm

| BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE-ITEM DETAIL B. Operating Expenses | COST |
|---|------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| TOTAL | |

C. EQUIPMENT

Equipment intended for use to conduct workers' compensation fraud investigation be defined as an asset with:

- a normal useful life of at least one year (12) months and
- a unit acquisition cost of at least \$5,000

Rented or leased equipment must be budgeted as an Operating Expense. "Lease to Purchase" agreements are generally not allowable. If a "Lease to Purchase" is requested, prior approval is required.

If equipment purchase requests are made, an equipment log must be completed listing all equipment purchases made with previous CDI grants

Automobiles

The purchase of automobiles is not allowable, except when specifically requested and justified to the Commissioner. If justified, county procurement policies must be followed.

2. Instructions - Equipment

A line item is required for each different type of equipment, but not for each specific piece of equipment (e.g., three printers should be one line item, not three).

PROGRAM TOTAL

Place the total amount for the entire budget in the space provided at the bottom right corner of the Budget Category and Line-Item Detail form. This amount must match the amount allocated for the program.

D. OTHER PROGRAM FUNDS

Carry-over Funds

Indicates the estimated amount of unused funds from previous years that will be carried over into the 2004-2005 fiscal year and briefly describe the County Plan on how to utilize the carry-over funds.

Interest Income

Include the amount of interest accrued to the base program funds. Interest income shall be used to further local program purposes.

| or the state of | DEPAR | IMENT (| F INSU | RANCI | E | | |
|-----------------|---|----------|---------------------------|--------|------------|---------|-----|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | a exercise | | |
| | | | | | | | , |
| BUDGET CATI | EGORY AND | LINE-ITE | M DETAI | r | 42.25 | . : '- | |
| | | | | | | COST | |
| C. Equipment | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | A. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 19 | gyzzak. | |
| | | - 2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 14.11 | . 1 5 | 1,6 |
| | | | | | | · | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | 69 Y V | | | | |
| | 10 May 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | AND TO | | | |
| ATTOODS/ TOTAL | | | | | | | |
| CATEGORY TOTAL | 0.000 | | The state of the state of | | 5 | | |

WORKERS' COMPENSATION FRAUD PROGRAM

| Equipment Log for FY | | |
|----------------------|---|------|
| County of | | |
| | : | |

| Equipment Ordered | Equipment Cost | Equipment Date Cost Ordered | | Serial Number | Equipment Tag Number | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | - | | |
| | l | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | l | <u> </u> | | | |

| certify this | repor | t is accu | rate and in acco | rdance v | with the approved | Grant Award |
|--------------|-------|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|
| Agreement | | | | | • | |
| Name: | | | | Title: | | |
| Signature: | | | | Date: | | |